UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/507,368	09/20/2004	Sven Morein	026220-00054	4091
4372 ARENT FOX I	7590 04/18/200 LLP	EXAMINER		
1050 CONNECTICUT AVENUE, N.W.			AHMED, HASAN SYED	
SUITE 400 WASHINGTON, DC 20036			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1618	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			04/18/2008	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

DCIPDocket@arentfox.com IPMatters@arentfox.com Patent_Mail@arentfox.com

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/507,368	MOREIN ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	HASAN S. AHMED	1618			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) ☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 22 Ja 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowant closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro				
Disposition of Claims					
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-42 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 3,10-18 and 21-42 is/ 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-9,19 and 20 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or Application Papers 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	are withdrawn from consideration election requirement.				
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access applicant may not request that any objection to the confidence are replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction at the confidence are replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction at the confidence are replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction at the confidence are replacement as the confidence are	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See on is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 					
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 9/20/04.	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	ate			

Application/Control Number: 10/507,368 Page 2

Art Unit: 1618

DETAILED ACTION

Receipt is acknowledged of applicants': IDS, which was filed on 20 September 2004, and response to restriction and election of species requirement, which was filed on 22 January 2008.

* * * * *

Election/Restrictions

Applicants election with traverse of Group I and species claims 2, 19, and 23 in the reply filed on 22 January 2008 is acknowledged. The traversal is on the ground(s) that there is no search burden. This is not found persuasive because elements exist in each of the groups which require searches in areas not required for the other groups. Applicants also traverse on the ground that Groups I and II are not patentably distinct. This is not found persuasive because the surfactant of Group II will have a unique pharmacokinetic and pharmacodynamic profile, distinct from a formulation lacking a surfactant.

The requirement is still deemed proper and is therefore made FINAL.

Claims 3, 10-18, and 21-42 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b), as being drawn to a nonelected inventions, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Applicant timely traversed the restriction (election) requirement in the reply filed on 22 January 2008.

* * * * *

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-9, 19, and 20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over EP 0 376 331 ("Tsuru") in view of WO 01/66088 ("Holmberg").

Tsuru teaches slow release drug delivery granules (see page 2, line 42) comprising:

- the drug absorbed into porous particles of instant claims 1 and 2 (see page 2, lines 48 and 51);
- the calcium phosphate of instant claim 4 (see page 3, line 14); and
- the particle size of instant claims 5 and 6 (see page 3, line 46).

Tsuru explains that the disclosed particles are beneficial because they result in, "...controllable and good prolonged effect of the drug release..." See page 2, line 9.

While Tsuru does not explicitly teach the pore sizes of instant claims 7-9, it is the position of the Examiner that it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to determine suitable pore size through routine or manipulative experimentation to obtain the best possible results, as these are variable parameters attainable within the art.

Moreover, generally, differences in pore size will not support the patentability of subject matter encompassed by the prior art unless there is evidence indicating such

Application/Control Number: 10/507,368

Art Unit: 1618

concentration is critical. "[W]here the general conditions of a claim are disclosed in the prior art, it is not inventive to discover the optimum or workable ranges by routine experimentation." *In re Aller*, 220 F.2d 454, 456; 105 USPQ 233, 235 (CCPA 1955). Applicants have not demonstrated any unexpected or unusual results, which accrue from the instant percentage ranges.

The prior art teaches a pore size of 1nm to 10um (see page 3, line 42).

Tsuru differs from the instant application in that it does not disclose NO-donating NSAIDs.

Holmberg teaches a drug delivery system comprising:

- the NO-donating NSAID of instant claim 1, said NSAID adsorbed into particles (see page 21, lines 29-30);
- the oily form of instant claim 2 (see page 11, lines 1-2);
- and the naproxen of instant claims 19 and 20 (see page 5, line 18) (the empirical formula of instant claim 20 is deemed to be an inherent description of naproxen).

It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to disclose a solid drug delivery composition comprising a NO-donating NSAID absorbed onto a porous particle, as taught by Tsuru in view of Holmberg. One of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made would have been motivated to make such a composition because it results in controllable and good prolonged effect of the drug release, as explained by Tsuru.

Application/Control Number: 10/507,368 Page 5

Art Unit: 1618

Correspondence

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to HASAN S. AHMED whose telephone number is

(571)272-4792. The examiner can normally be reached on 9am - 5:30pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Michael G. Hartley can be reached on (571)272-0616. The fax phone

number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-

273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the

Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for

published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a

USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information

system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/H. S. A./

Examiner, Art Unit 1618

/Humera N. Sheikh/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1618 Application/Control Number: 10/507,368

Page 6

Art Unit: 1618